**EVALUATION GRID**

**EVALUATION OF ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| pROJECT REGISTRATION NUMBER |  |
| CALL FOR PROPOSALS |  |
| assessor (NAME) |  |
| evaluation step | **3** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PROJECT SYNOPSIS** | |
| Project Title |  |
| lEAD PARTNER |  |
| TYPE OF ACTION | hard |
| TYPE OF PROJECT | integrated / symmetrical / single country |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **TOTAL SCORE STEP 2** | | | | | **[xx]** |
| **1. COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT** | | | | | |
| To verify if the additional documents required for Step 3 of evaluation have been provided as required by the Call for proposals and if they are accurate. | | | | | |
| **NO** | | **YES/ NA** | **Full feasibility study/ studies or equivalent**  [for each project Partner executing an infrastructure component] | | |
|  | |  | The identification data of the Partner is correct. | | |
|  | |  | The identification data of the infrastructure component (title, location details) matches the information provided within section C4 (GA#4). | | |
|  | |  | The content framework given by the Call for proposals for the content of the document(s) is followed. | | |
|  | |  | The document is still valid according to the provisions of the national legislation in force **OR**, in case such provisions do not exist, is not older than 12 months. | | |
| **NO** | | **YES/ NA** | **Environmental Impact Assessment(s)**  [for each project Partner executing an infrastructure component] | | |
|  | |  | The identification data of the Partner is correct. | | |
|  | |  | The identification data of the infrastructure component matches the information provided within section C4 (GA#4). | | |
|  | |  | The content framework given by the Call for proposals for the content of the document(s) is followed. | | |
|  | |  | The document is still valid according to the provisions of the national legislation in force **OR**, in case such provisions do not exist, is not older than 12 months. | | |
| **NO** | | **YES/ NA** | **Building permit(s)**  [for each project Partner executing an infrastructure component] | | |
|  | |  | The identification data of the Partner is correct. | | |
|  | |  | The identification data of the infrastructure component matches the information provided within section C4 (GA#4). | | |
|  | |  | The document is still valid according to the provisions of the national legislation in force. | | |
|  | |  | The period foreseen for execution of the infrastructure matches the planning from section C7 *Indicative time plan* of the application form. | | |
| **NO** | | **YES/ NA** | **Other execution details, consents, approvals, authorizations and agreements required by the national legislation and mandatory to begin execution of the infrastructure**  [for each project Partner executing an infrastructure component] | | |
|  | |  | All the documents indicated in the Application Form have been provided. | | |
|  | |  | [per document] The identification data of the Partner is correct. | | |
|  | |  | [per document] The identification data of the infrastructure component matches the information provided within section C4 (GA#4). | | |
|  | |  | [per document] The document is still valid according to the provisions of the national legislation in force. | | |
| **NO** | | **YES/ NA** | **Ownership document(s) and access to the land/ building**  [for each project Partner executing an infrastructure component] | | |
|  | |  | The identification data of the Partner is correct. | | |
|  | |  | The identification data of the infrastructure component matches the information provided within the application form (C4 GA#4). | | |
|  | |  | The documents provided at this step match those indicated in section C4 (GA#4). | | |
| **NO** | | **YES/ NA** | **Coherence crosscheck**  [for each project Partner executing an infrastructure component] | | |
|  | |  | There are no discrepancies between the identification data contained in the additional documents listed above and provided by the project partners, and denomination of the infrastructure component, identification of the beneficiary partner, coordinates of the location where the infrastructure is to be executed, requirements of the Call for proposal (e.g. content of the statements, period cover by the ownership rights etc.) | | |
| **CONCLUSIONS** | | | | | |
| **2. CONTENT ASSESSMENT** [for each project Partner executing an infrastructure component] | | | | | |
| **1** | There is coherence between the Feasibility study, namely section 2.2 (*Analysis of the current situation and identification of deficiencies*) and 2.3 (*Justification of needs for the infrastructure*), and the application form, namely section C1.2 and C4 (*GA#4 Works/ infrastructure*). | | | | |
| **1** | **In each scenario** proposed, there is coherence between the Feasibility study, namely section 3.1 (*Features of the site/ location*), and the application form, namely section C4 (GA#4 *Works/ infrastructure*). | | | | |
| **1** | **In each scenario** proposed by the Feasibility study, there is free and unlimited access to the infrastructure component, as evidenced by the designed part of the study and the ownership documents. | | | | |
| **1** | If necessary, **in each scenario** proposed by the Feasibility study, there is possibility to connect the infrastructure component to the utilities networks. | | | | |
| **1** | **In the scenario chosen**, there is coherence between the Feasibility study, namely section 4.4 (*Overview of technical and economic indicators related to the infrastructure*), and the application form, namely section C4 (GA#4 Works/ infrastructure). | | | | |
| **1** | **In the scenario chosen**, there is coherence between the Feasibility study, namely section 5.2 (*Implementation strategy*) of the Feasibility study and the application form, namely section C4 (*GA#4 Works/ infrastructure*). | | | | |
| **1** | **In the scenario chosen**, there is coherence between the Feasibility study, namely section 4.4 (*Overview of technical and economic indicators related to the infrastructure*) and section 5.2 (*Implementation strategy*), and the application form, namely section C7 *Indicative time plan*. | | | | |
| **1** | **In the scenario chosen,** estimated costs of the infrastructure given by the Feasibility study matches the overall cost in budget sheet *Indicative infrastructure budget breakdown* of the Application Form. In case costs are bigger, additional funds have to be provided by the beneficiary from his own resources outside the project budget to execute the respective infrastructure in full. | | | | |
| **1** | **In the scenario chosen**, conclusions/ recommendations of the Environmental Impact Assessment have been taken into account by the Feasibility study at section 3.6 (*Sustainability aspects related to infrastructure)*. This section is also coherent with the application form, namely section C8 (*Sustainability of the project outputs and results).* | | | | |
| **1** | **In each scenario** proposed, there is coherence between the Feasibility study, namely section 3.9 (*Risk analysis and measures to prevent/ mitigate risks)*, and the application form, namely section C4 (GA#1 Project management and GA#4 Works/ infrastructure). | | | | |
| **Maximum score = 10 POINTS** | | | | | |
|  | | | **10** | **Very good** (the statements above are fully valid) | |
| **–5 – 9** | **Adequate** (the statements above are mostly valid, and although some inconsistencies are identified between the additional documents and the Application Form, and their effect on further project implementation is not decisive) | |
| **< 5** | **Poor** (the statements above are mostly not valid due to lack of clarity, logic and/or details of the Feasibility study, serious discrepancies between the documents provided and/ or the Application Form which could affect further implementation of the project) | |

| **SCORE & SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS** | |
| --- | --- |
| [xx] | Conclusions compliance assessment (per partner)  Conclusions content assessment - the infrastructure component (per partner) |